

About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors, for investors.

PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The public Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, provide accountability and support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices and to discuss these with their clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This public Transparency Report is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2023 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to core indicators, as well as responses to plus indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised signatories' responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options selected by the signatory are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2023 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	6
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	24
MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)	48
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	59



SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

Our company is dedicated to pension fund management; therefore, it plays a key role in the economy due to the substantial amount of assets it manages and the fiduciary commitment over the invested assets. According to the conditions of the local market, our organization has the potential to positively impact the real economy, demanding specific guidelines and promoting the incorporation of sustainability best practices.

At a regional level, our organization has made significant strides in responsible investment, aiming for regional alignment to achieve more efficient progress. While at the national level, efforts have begun with the establishment of public-private partnership forums and some specific sustainability initiatives, there are still many regulatory and business challenges.

The role our entity plays in the securities market is crucial for understanding the responsibility and commitment we must assume in order to incorporate ESG principles into it. The requirements we are seeking represent the starting point for both corporations looking to issue in the securities market and alternative investments, to understand the need to incorporate ESG requirements in order to become eligible assets.

In line with this, our goal is to be agents of change towards a sustainable economy in the long term, aiming to align traditional investment with sustainable investment. For this reason, we have been pioneers in the market by issuing an open letter, outlining the focus of analysis that our investments will have as a way to achieve a supported transition process, while demanding necessary transparency through the creation of annual reports using internationally recognized standards such as the GRI and SASB Standards. We strengthen the quality of ESG information disclosure, develop internal and/or external audit processes that ensure transparency and reliability, and carry out analyses on climate change, among other initiatives.

Our sustainability policy serves as a framework that allows us to identify and quantify sustainable investment. We have created and use a taxonomy that objectively and comparably classifies investments, actively managing them and making long-term impactful decisions. As a company, we have committed to the Climate Change Declaration for Latin America, which seeks to unify efforts to become carbon neutral. We collaborate with all Latam signatories to develop the necessary capabilities and methodologies to measure the climate impact and alignment with local and global climate objectives.

We are members of PCAF, a global partnership of financial institutions working together to develop and implement a harmonized approach to assess and disclose greenhouse gas emissions associated with their loans and investments. This enables us, among other things, to measure the carbon footprint of our portfolio.

Our progress in this area, as well as our participation in PRI, aligns with our commitment to sustainable investment. It is an essential element of our mission and business principles. Through investment management processes, we aim to contribute to sustainability and to the building of a better society.

Section 2. Annual overview



- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
- refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
- stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
- collaborative engagements
- attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

At AFAP SURA, we understand that sustainable investment is also a competitiveness strategy, a way to generate value and leverage growth and solidity by applying environmental, social, and corporate governance (ESG) sustainability criteria in a serious and rigorous manner.

In line with this, the growing awareness at a general level regarding the need for development in sustainable investments has allowed us to progress in training our staff. We have formed a specialized local ESG team that addresses all related topics, as well as regional synergies through a dedicated regional team. This has led to the development of knowledge, monitoring, and a strong annual work plan. The work plan for the past year primarily focused on identifying and categorizing our portfolio investments, initiating the process of measuring the portfolio's carbon footprint, creating specific ESG rating systems for asset classes, and engaging with companies. We consider the engagement aspect of utmost relevance in the market we operate in, as active commitments with issuers align our investments with sustainability while positively and directly impacting the real economy.

Our efforts for the upcoming years continue to concentrate on generating engagement commitments, decarbonizing the portfolio, measuring climate change impact risks, and providing continuous training for the team responsible for analyzing sustainability factors. In line with our commitment, we have undertaken work through the National Association of AFAP in Uruguay (ANAFAP) (a union that brings together private Pension Fund Administrators in the country) on Sustainable Investment in pension funds. This effort involves an analysis that aims to highlight the importance of incorporating sustainability analysis into pension funds. It is based on analyses from other regions such as Latin America, Europe, and the USA. The analysis was presented this year to various market stakeholders (regulators, AFAPs, investors, trustees, etc.) and was approached from an international experience perspective, considering the Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors in risk analysis and investment portfolios. The objective is to raise awareness about the significance of this topic and the need for transparency and regulation within Uruguay's context.

Section 3. Next steps

■ What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

In the coming years, we will advance in the development of sustainable investment, placing the main focus on the guidelines we have acquired through our adherence to PRI. Our efforts for the forthcoming years continue to center around generating engagement commitments, decarbonizing the portfolio, measuring climate change impact risks, and providing ongoing training for the team responsible for analyzing sustainability factors.

At the local level, our focus is on having a greater presence in the securities market, demanding higher requirements concerning ESG matters, in alignment with advancements in engagement processes. Additionally, our contribution to the regulator for the development of sustainable investment regulations that align with the market is relevant to us.

Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.



Name

Sebastián Peaguda / Santiago Hernández

Position

Gerente General / Gerente de Inversiones

Organisation's Name

AFAP SURA

A

This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.



This endorsement is for the Senior Leadership Statement only and is not an endorsement of the information reported by AFAP SURA S.A. in the various modules of the Reporting Framework.

The Senior Leadership Statement is simply provided as a general overview of AFAP SURA S.A.'s responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such, and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions.

ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (00)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
001	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	31	03	2023



SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

o (A) Yes

⊚ (B) No

ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 4	CORE	00 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries, and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 3,806,859,877.00

(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]

US\$ 0.00

(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 0.00

Additional information on the exchange rate used: (Voluntary)

The AUM is in Uruguayan pesos, converted to dollars at the exchange rate 38,648.



ASSET BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 5	CORE	OO 3	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	0%	0.001%
(B) Fixed income	76.02%	8.85%
(C) Private equity	0%	0%
(D) Real estate	1.01%	1.01%
(E) Infrastructure	3%	2.99%
(F) Hedge funds	0%	0%
(G) Forestry	1.89%	1.88%
(H) Farmland	0.74%	0.75%
(I) Other	1.86%	0%
(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%

(I) Other - (1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM - Specify:

Other - Cash



ASSET BREAKDOWN: EXTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.1	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your organisation's externally managed listed equity and/or fixed income AUM.

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income - SSA	(3) Fixed income - corporate	(4) Fixed income - securitised	(5) Fixed income - private debt
(A) Active	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%
(B) Passive	0%	0%	0%		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2	CORE	00 5, 00 5.1	SAM 3, SAM 8	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed AUM between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.

	(1) Segregated mandate(s)	(2) Pooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)
(A) Listed equity - active	100%	0%
(C) Fixed income - active	100%	0%
(F) Real estate	100%	0%
(G) Infrastructure	100%	0%



ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 5.3 FI	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed fixed income	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed fixed income AUM.

(A) Passive – SSA	72%
(B) Passive – corporate	11%
(C) Active – SSA	17%
(D) Active – corporate	0%
(E) Securitised	0%
(F) Private debt	0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED REAL ESTATE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 5.3 RE	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed real estate	GENERAL
Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed real estate AUM.						

(A) Retail	0%
(B) Office	33.06%
(C) Industrial	0%
(D) Residential	14%



(E) Hotel	0%
(F) Lodging, leisure and recreation	0%
(G) Education	0%
(H) Technology or science	0%
(I) Healthcare	0%
(J) Mixed use	52.94%
(K) Other	0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED INFRASTRUCTURE

11.03%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 5.3 INF	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed infrastructure	GENERAL
Provide a further	r breakdown of your i	internally manage	d infrastructure	e AUM.		
(A) Data infras	tructure	0%				
(B) Diversified	(B) Diversified					
(C) Energy and	(C) Energy and water resources					
(D) Environme	(D) Environmental services					
(E) Network uti	(E) Network utilities					
(F) Power generation	eration (excl.	0%				



(G) Renewable power

(H) Social infrastructure	62.05%
(I) Transport	26.92%
(J) Other	0%

MANAGEMENT BY PRI SIGNATORIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 6	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Management by PRI signatories	GENERAL

What percentage of your organisation's externally managed assets are managed by PRI signatories?

13.55%

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
007	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(A) Listed equity	(12) 100%
(B) Fixed income – SSA	(10) >80 to 90%
(C) Fixed income – corporate	(12) 100%
(G) Real estate	(12) 100%
(H) Infrastructure	(12) 100%



STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

	(1) Listed equity - active	(3) Fixed income - active	(4) Fixed income - passive	(6) Real estate
(A) Yes, through internal staff	V	Ø	Ø	Ø
(B) Yes, through service providers				
(C) Yes, through external managers				
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	0	0	0	0
	(7) Infrastructure	(9) Forestry	(10) Farmland	(11) Other
(A) Yes, through internal staff		\square	Ø	
(B) Yes, through service providers				



(C) Yes, through external managers				
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	0	0	0	•

STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct (proxy) voting activities for any of your listed equity holdings?

(1) Listed equity - active

(A) Yes, through internal staff	☑
(B) Yes, through service providers	
(C) Yes, through external managers	
(D) We do not conduct (proxy) voting	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9.1	CORE	OO 9	PGS 10.1, PGS 31	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

For each asset class, on what percentage of your listed equity holdings do you have the discretion to vote?



Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

(A) Listed equity – active (2) >0 to 10%

STEWARDSHIP NOT CONDUCTED

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship not conducted	2

Describe why your organisation does not currently conduct stewardship and/or (proxy) voting.

Stewardship, excluding (proxy) voting (K) Other

In other cases, we include cash balances, so there is no need for stewardship; it is applied once the investment is made.

ESG INCORPORATION

INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions
(E) Fixed income - SSA	•	O
(F) Fixed income - corporate	•	0
(J) Real estate	•	Ο



(K) Infrastructure	•	0
(T) Forestry	•	0
(U) Farmland	•	0
(V) Other: Other - Cash	•	0

EXTERNAL MANAGER SELECTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 12	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager selection	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers
(A) Listed equity - active	•	0
(C) Fixed income - active	•	0
(F) Real estate	•	0
(G) Infrastructure	•	0
(I) Forestry	•	0
(J) Farmland	•	0



EXTERNAL MANAGER APPOINTMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 13	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager appointment	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers
(A) Listed equity - active	•	0
(C) Fixed income - active	•	0
(F) Real estate	•	0
(G) Infrastructure	•	0
(I) Forestry	•	0
(J) Farmland	•	0

EXTERNAL MANAGER MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager monitoring	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers?



(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers

(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers

(A) Listed equity - active	•	0
(C) Fixed income - active	•	0
(F) Real estate	•	0
(G) Infrastructure	•	0
(I) Forestry	•	0
(J) Farmland	•	0

ESG IN OTHER ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 15	CORE	OO 11, OO 12- 14	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG in other asset classes	1

Describe how your organisation incorporates ESG factors into the following asset classes.

Internally managed (A) Forestry

In relation to these assets, our main focus is engagement. To carry this out, we design an ESG due diligence questionnaire that allows us to gather information about the issuer and the specific structure in which we invest. This process also helps us generate a baseline of comparable metrics by sector and, in the future, measure their evolution over time. This due diligence serves as the primary input for our internally developed ESG rating system.

The ESG rating system, based on international standards such as SASB and TCFD, is sector-specific and evaluates both qualitative and quantitative criteria of the issuer and the invested structure. Once the ESG rating is determined, it affects the allocated investment quota. Our investments are categorized according to an internally established regional taxonomy, enabling us to clearly identify when we are dealing with a sustainable investment or similar categories.

Each investment is analyzed prior to issuance and regularly monitored through qualitative ESG criteria. During each analysis, related certifications are identified, environmental and social reports are reviewed, periodic meetings with involved stakeholders are conducted, and engagement agreements are established to promote greater adoption of sustainable criteria in each investment.

(B) Farmland



In relation to these assets, our main focus is engagement. To carry this out, we design an ESG due diligence questionnaire that allows us to gather information about the issuer and the specific structure in which we invest. This process also helps us generate a baseline of comparable metrics by sector and, in the future, measure their evolution over time. This due diligence serves as the primary input for our internally developed ESG rating system.

The ESG rating system, based on international standards such as SASB and TCFD, is sector-specific and evaluates both qualitative and quantitative criteria of the issuer and the invested structure. Once the ESG rating is determined, it affects the allocated investment quota. Our investments are categorized according to an internally established regional taxonomy, enabling us to clearly identify when we are dealing with a sustainable investment or similar categories.

Each investment is analyzed prior to issuance and regularly monitored through qualitative ESG criteria. During each analysis, related certifications are identified, environmental and social reports are reviewed, periodic meetings with involved stakeholders are conducted, and engagement agreements are established to promote greater adoption of sustainable criteria in each investment.

(C) Other

In relation to these assets, we have a specific ESG rating system for sovereigns and sustainable bonds. In this system, we take into account quantitative variables, which are compared based on a specific set of countries, in order to assign an objective rating. This rating allows us to understand how advanced the country is in terms of sustainability, as well as the key challenges it faces. Once this rating is obtained, it influences the investment approval process, along with a recommended interest rate.

Externally managed (D) Forestry

In relation to these assets, our main focus is engagement. To carry this out, we design an ESG due diligence questionnaire that allows us to gather information about the issuer and the specific structure in which we invest. This process also helps us generate a baseline of comparable metrics by sector and, in the future, measure their evolution over time. This due diligence serves as the primary input for our internally developed ESG rating system.

The ESG rating system, based on international standards such as SASB and TCFD, is sector-specific and evaluates both qualitative and quantitative criteria of the issuer and the invested structure. Once the ESG rating is determined, it affects the allocated investment quota. Our investments are categorized according to an internally established regional taxonomy, enabling us to clearly identify when we are dealing with a sustainable investment or similar categories.

Each investment is analyzed prior to issuance and regularly monitored through qualitative ESG criteria. During each analysis, related certifications are identified, environmental and social reports are reviewed, periodic meetings with involved stakeholders are conducted, and engagement agreements are established to promote greater adoption of sustainable criteria in each investment.

(E) Farmland

In relation to these assets, our main focus is engagement. To carry this out, we design an ESG due diligence questionnaire that allows us to gather information about the issuer and the specific structure in which we invest. This process also helps us generate a baseline of comparable metrics by sector and, in the future, measure their evolution over time. This due diligence serves as the primary input for our internally developed ESG rating system.

The ESG rating system, based on international standards such as SASB and TCFD, is sector-specific and evaluates both qualitative and quantitative criteria of the issuer and the invested structure. Once the ESG rating is determined, it affects the allocated investment quota. Our investments are categorized according to an internally established regional taxonomy, enabling us to clearly identify when we are dealing with a sustainable investment or similar categories.



Each investment is analyzed prior to issuance and regularly monitored through qualitative ESG criteria. During each analysis, related certifications are identified, environmental and social reports are reviewed, periodic meetings with involved stakeholders are conducted, and engagement agreements are established to promote greater adoption of sustainable criteria in each investment.

ESG STRATEGIES

FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17 FI	CORE	OO 5.3 FI, OO 11	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

(A) Screening alone	0%
(B) Thematic alone	0%
(C) Integration alone	0%
(D) Screening and integration	100%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0%
(G) All three approaches combined	0%
(H) None	0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17.1 FI	CORE	00 17 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1



What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active fixed income where a screening approach is applied?

(1) Fixed	income -	SSA
-----------	----------	-----

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0%
(B) Negative screening only	100%
(C) A combination of screening approaches	0%

ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

- o (A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable
- o (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

Additional information: (Voluntary)

We are a pension fund, we cannot distribute our own products. We only manage third-party funds.



PASSIVE INVESTMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 19	CORE	OO 5.3 FI, OO 11	LE 8, FI 10	PUBLIC	Passive investments	1

What percentage of your total internally managed passive listed equity and/or fixed income passive AUM utilise an ESG index or benchmark?

(B) Fixed income - passive 68.72%

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

(1) Mandatory to a specific pre-filled bases on the previous response.		(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	No, I want to opt-out of	
Policy, Governance and Strategy	•	0	0	
Confidence Building Measures	•	0	0	
(T) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – listed equity - active	0	•	0	



(V) External manager selection,appointment and monitoring (SAM)fixed income - active	0	0	•
(Y) External manager selection,appointment and monitoring (SAM)real estate	0	•	0
(Z) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – infrastructure	O	•	0

SUBMISSION INFORMATION

REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 31	CORE	Reporting status	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

Your organisation is in its voluntary reporting period; do you wish to make your responses available to the public?

- (A) Yes, publish all responses to Core indicators and any Plus indicators that are indicated for publication
- o (B) No, keep all our responses private for this year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 3, OO 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- o (B) Publish as ranges



POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- ☑ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- $\ \square$ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- \square (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- ☑ (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- ☑ (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- ☑ (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- ☑ (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- ☑ (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- ☑ (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting
- $\ \square$ (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here
- o (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- ☑ (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- ☑ (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- \square (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues
- o (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment Add link:

https://www.afapsura.com.uy/Content/Files/Pdf/Cap%C3%ADtulo ASG Negocio Mandatorio. resumen.pdf

☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors

Add link:

https://www.afapsura.com.uy/Content/Files/Pdf/Cap%C3%ADtulo_ASG_Negocio_Mandatorio._resumen.pdf

☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors

Add link:

https://www.afapsura.com.uy/Content/Files/Pdf/Cap%C3%ADtulo_ASG_Negocio_Mandatorio._resumen.pdf

☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors

Add link:

https://www.afapsura.com.uy/Content/Files/Pdf/Cap%C3%ADtulo ASG Negocio Mandatorio. resumen.pdf

- ☐ (F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- \square (G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- $\ensuremath{\square}$ (J) Guidelines on exclusions

Add link:

https://www.afapsura.com.uy/Content/Files/Pdf/Cap%C3%ADtulo ASG Negocio Mandatorio. resumen.pdf

(K) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment Add link:

https://www.afapsura.com.uy/Content/Files/Pdf/Cap%C3%ADtulo_ASG_Negocio_Mandatorio._resumen.pdf

(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees Add link:

https://www.afapsura.com.uy/Content/Files/Pdf/Cap%C3%ADtulo ASG Negocio Mandatorio. resumen.pdf

(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement Add link:

https://www.afapsura.com.uy/Content/Files/Pdf/Cap%C3%ADtulo ASG Negocio Mandatorio. resumen.pdf

(N) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders Add link:

https://www.afapsura.com.uy/Content/Files/Pdf/Cap%C3%ADtulo ASG Negocio Mandatorio. resumen.pdf

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ (O) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting



Add link:

https://www.afapsura.com.uy/Content/Files/Pdf/Cap%C3%ADtulo ASG Negocio Mandatorio. resumen.pdf

o (Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 4	PLUS	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1-6

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) identify a link between your responsible investment activities and your fiduciary duties or equivalent obligations?



Elaborate:

As a Pension Fund we frame sustainable development with a long-term perspective, considering both financial aspects and Environmental, Social, and Corporate Governance ('ESG') factors in how we conduct our business. Our corporate purpose involves managing investment portfolios on behalf of our affiliates, with whom we maintain a fiduciary duty to seek the best return on their resources, at a reasonable risk level, under standards of excellence and industry best practices.

We recognize that the financial performance, business development, and value generation of the companies, projects, and structures in which the funds we manage are invested can be impacted, both positively and negatively, by their behavior in ESG variables. Potential opportunities and risks can arise from the good or poor management of these variables, thus influencing the fulfillment of the mentioned fiduciary duty. As such, they must be appropriately assessed in the investment decisions made within our Investment processes. To that extent, being mindful of the specific challenges associated with the investment processes and styles across different asset classes and businesses, we commit to incorporating ESG criteria in the assessment of investment opportunities, whenever the nature of the business and the market allow for it. This commitment takes into account the inherent nature of each industry concerning these criteria.

(B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 5	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Which elements are covered in your organisation's policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

- ☑ (A) Overall stewardship objectives
- ☑ (B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities
- ☑ (C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts
- ☐ (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation
- ☑ (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship
- ☑ (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship
- ☑ (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship



☑ (H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa

☐ (I) Other

o (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 6	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your policy on (proxy) voting include voting principles and/or guidelines on specific ESG factors?

- ☑ (A) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific environmental factors
- ☑ (B) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific social factors
- ☑ (C) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific governance factors
- o (D) Our policy on (proxy) voting does not include voting principles or guidelines on specific ESG factors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 7	CORE	00 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your organisation have a policy that states how (proxy) voting is addressed in your securities lending programme?

- o (A) We have a publicly available policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- o (B) We have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- o (C) We rely on the policy of our external service provider(s)
- o (D) We do not have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?



Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment

(B) Guidelines on environmental factors

(C) Guidelines on social factors

(D) Guidelines on governance factors

(7) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 9	CORE	PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

AUM coverage

(A) Specific guidelines on climate change	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(B) Specific guidelines on human rights	(2) for a majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

☑ (A) Listed equity

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%



- o (9) >80% to 90%
- o (10) >90% to <100%
- **(11) 100%**

☑ (B) Fixed income

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%
 - **(11) 100%**

☑ (D) Real estate

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%
 - **(11) 100%**

☑ (E) Infrastructure

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - (6) >50% to 60%(7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - (9) >80% to 90%
 - (10) >90% to <100%
 - **(11) 100%**

☑ (G) Forestry

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - (6) >50% to 60%(7) > 60% to 70%
 - (7) >60% to 70%(8) >70% to 80%
 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - (10) > 000/ to <10
 - (10) >90% to <100%(11) 100%
- ☑ (H) Farmland



(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- o (1) >0% to 10%
- o (2) >10% to 20%
- o (3) >20% to 30%
- o (4) >30% to 40%
- o (5) >40% to 50%
- o (6) >50% to 60%
- o (7) >60% to 70%
- o (8) >70% to 80%
- o (9) >80% to 90%
- o (10) >90% to <100%
- **(11) 100%**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10.1	CORE	OO 9.1, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

What percentage of your listed equity holdings is covered by your guidelines on (proxy) voting?

☑ (A) Actively managed listed equity

- (1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - (6) >50% to 60%
 - (7) > 600/ += 700/
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - (8) >70% to 80%(9) >80% to 90%
 - (3) 260 76 to 3676(10) >90% to <100%
 - **(11) 100%**

GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

- \square (A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent
- ☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent Specify:

Board

Specify:

- -Investment Committee, it has 3 votes corresponding to the CEO, CIO, head of risk and possibility of veto of the risk area.
- -Committee appointed to consider ESG issues at the regional level and make decisions of relevance to the group. Whenever there is an ESG conflict at the local level, it is referred to the regional committee for definition.
- ☑ (D) Head of department, or equivalent

Specify department:

Investment and Risk management area.

o (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?



(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors	
(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)	
(E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)	
(H) Guidelines on exclusions	
(I) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment	
(J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees	
(K) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement	
(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders	
(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting	
(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)	0



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1-6

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

- o (A) Yes
- o (B) No
- (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

☑ (A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

The internal ESG team, composed of an investment person and a risk person and part of the regional sustainable investment team, is responsible for analyzing investments on ESG factors and generating engagement commitment with external agents in order to control that investments align with our sustainable investment approach.

(B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers Specify:

When investing, the environmental, social, and governance factors applied by the project's manager are thoroughly analyzed, also conveying the significance of their future application through an ESG Due Diligence process. In addition to this, collaborative efforts are made to establish commitments that align the investment with our sustainability principles, while simultaneously creating a positive impact from the investments.

o (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1



Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

(a) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Indicate whether these responsible investment KPIs are linked to compensation

- **(1)** KPIs are linked to compensation
- o (2) KPIs are not linked to compensation as these roles do not have variable compensation
- o (3) KPIs are not linked to compensation even though these roles have variable compensation

Describe: (Voluntary)

Bonus associated with the fulfillment of objectives

 (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

- ☑ (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
- ☑ (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- \square (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- \square (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- **☑** (E) Climate-related commitments
- ☑ (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- ☑ (G) Human rights-related commitments
- ☐ (H) Progress towards human rights—related commitments
- $\ \square$ (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- ☑ (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- o (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

П	(Δ)	Vac	including	ااد	governance-relate	d recomme	հգհո	dieclocurae

- $\hfill\square$ (B) Yes, including all strategy-related recommended disclosures
- \square (C) Yes, including all risk management–related recommended disclosures
- $\hfill\square$ (D) Yes, including all applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures



Explain why: (Voluntary)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement Add link(s):

https://www.afapsura.com.uy/Prensa/AFAP SURA fortalece su compromiso para la gesti%C3%B3n del cambio clim%C3%A1t ico en sus portafolios/file.pdf https://reporte-sostenibilidad.sura.com.uy/

- o (B) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- o (C) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year

STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- ☑ (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services
- ☐ (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- ☑ (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN **Global Compact**
- \square (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- ☐ (E) Other elements
- o (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- ☑ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns Select from dropdown list:
 - o (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
 - (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
 - o (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- ☑ (B) We incorporate climate change-related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- o (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- ☑ (C) We incorporate human rights-related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- ☑ (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- o (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- o (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

Specify: (Voluntary)

- \circ (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- o (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process



STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(4) Real estate	(5) Infrastructui	(7) re Forestry	(8) Farmland
(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk- adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	•	•	•	•	•	•
(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	0	Ο	0	0	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?

- (A) We recognise the value of collective action, and as a result, we prioritise collaborative stewardship efforts wherever possible
- o (B) We collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- o (C) Other
- o (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts



STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 29	CORE	00 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

When you use external service providers to give recommendations, how do you ensure those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

- \Box (A) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations for controversial and high-profile votes
- \Box (B) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear
- \Box (C) We ensure consistency with our voting policy by reviewing external service providers' voting recommendations only after voting has been executed
- o (D) We do not review external service providers' voting recommendations
- (E) Not applicable; we do not use external service providers to give voting recommendations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 30	CORE	00 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

How is voting addressed in your securities lending programme?

- o (A) We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items
- o (B) When a vote is deemed important according to pre-established criteria (e.g. high stake in the company), we recall all our securities for voting
- o (C) Other
- o (D) We do not recall our securities for voting purposes
- (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 31	CORE	OO 9.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2



For the majority of votes cast over which you have discretion to vote, which of the following best describes your decision making approach regarding shareholder resolutions (or that of your external service provider(s) if decision making is delegated to them)?

- o (A) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, including affirming a company's good practice or prior commitment
- o (B) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, but only if the investee company has not already publicly committed to the action(s) requested in the proposal
- o (C) We vote in favour of shareholder resolutions only as an escalation measure
- o (D) We vote in favour of the investee company management's recommendations by default
- (E) Not applicable; we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 32	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation, or your external service provider(s), pre-declare voting intentions prior to voting in annual general meetings (AGMs) or extraordinary general meetings (EGMs)?

- ☐ (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system on the Resolution Database
- \square (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly by other means, e.g. through our website
- \square (C) We privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies prior to the AGM/EGM
- o (D) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions prior to the AGM/EGM
- (E) Not applicable; we did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33	CORE	OO 9	PGS 33.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, do you publicly disclose your (proxy) voting decisions or those made on your behalf by your external service provider(s), company by company and in a central source?

(A) Yes, for all (proxy) votes Add link(s):

https://www.bcu.gub.uy/Servicios-Financieros-SSF/Paginas/Hechos Relevantes Lst.aspx

- o (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes
- o (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes
- o (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions company-by-company and in a central source



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33.1	CORE	PGS 33	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

In the majority of cases, how soon after an investee's annual general meeting (AGM) or extraordinary general meeting (EGM) do you publish your voting decisions?

- **●** (A) Within one month of the AGM/EGM
- o (B) Within three months of the AGM/EGM
- o (C) Within six months of the AGM/EGM
- o (D) Within one year of the AGM/EGM
- o (E) More than one year after the AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 34	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, did your organisation, and/or the external service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale for your voting decisions during the reporting year?

	(1) In cases where we abstained or voted against management recommendations	(2) In cases where we voted against an ESG-related shareholder resolution	
(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale	(1) for all votes	(1) for all votes	
(B) Yes, we privately communicated the rationale to the company	(1) for all votes	(1) for all votes	
(C) We did not publicly or privately communicate the rationale, or we did not track this information	0	0	



(D) Not applicable; we did not abstain or vote against management recommendations or ESG-related shareholder resolutions during the reporting year

0 0

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale - Add link(s):

https://www.bcu.gub.uy/Servicios-Financieros-SSF/Paginas/Hechos_Relevantes_Lst.aspx

STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 36	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your listed equity holdings, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

(1) Listed equity (A) Joining or broadening an $\sqrt{}$ existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one (B) Filing, co-filing, and/or submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal (C) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter (D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors (E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors, or equivalent, e.g. lead independent director



(F) Divesting	
(G) Litigation	
(H) Other	
(I) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our listed equity holdings	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	pe of indicator Dependent on		Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 37	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your corporate fixed income assets, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

	A 1	Talled to a	and business all and income		مرينا ومراجع الممر			
Ы (A)	Joining	or proadening	ı an existing	g collaborative	engagement	or creating a	a new one

- \square (B) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter
- ☑ (C) Not investing
- \square (D) Reducing exposure to the investee entity
- ☐ (E) Divesting
- \Box (F) Litigation
- ☐ (G) Other
- o (H) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our corporate fixed income assets

STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

П	(A)	Yes	we	engaged	with	nolicy	makers	directly



^{☑ (}B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI

□ (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI

o (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.1	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

 \square (B) We responded to policy consultations

 \square (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups

 \square (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative

☑ (E) Other methods

Describe:

The company did not engage with stakeholders, but it did develop action plans to align institutional investors in the request for ESG information. Sustainable investment is being promoted, and the entire market is being aligned to participate.

Indicator	Type of indicator Dependent on		Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.2	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

(A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions Add link(s):

https://www.anafap.com.uy/cadm sis/download.php?t=prs&i=62

 \square (B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers

o (C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year



CLIMATE CHANGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

(A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

We qualitatively identify climate-related risks. For example, in the case of drought, we assess the impacted projects and diversify sectors to reduce exposure.

- \square (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon
- o (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

(a) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

Internally, we are making progress in calculating the portfolio's carbon footprint using the PCAF methodology to establish a baseline for our plan. In addition to this, given the complexities of the local market, we are initially working on setting reporting standards for market information that will serve as inputs for our action plans to mitigate the impacts of climate change on the portfolio.

• (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?

\Box (A) Yes	. usina the	Inevitable	Policy F	Resnonse	Enrecast	Policy	Scenario	(FPS)	or Re	equired	Policy	Scenario	(RPS)
_ (\neg	. usiiiu iii c	IIIEVILADIE	F UIICV F	/conditoe	ruictasi	L OIICA	Scellallo	$(\Gamma\Gamma \supset I)$	UI IN	cuulicu	L OIICA	Scenaro	INFO

- \square (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
- \square (C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario
- \square (D) Yes, using other scenarios



● (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

- \square (A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks
- \square (B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks
- (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

Explain why: (Voluntary)

In the face of specific events related to climate changes, risks are evaluated, and an action plan is established. However, this process is not integrated into our risk matrix.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and disclose?

- \square (A) Exposure to physical risk
- \square (B) Exposure to transition risk
- \square (C) Internal carbon price
- ☑ (D) Total carbon emissions
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - **(1)** Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - o (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- \square (E) Weighted average carbon intensity
- \square (F) Avoided emissions
- ☐ (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)
- ☐ (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals
- ☐ (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities
- \square (J) Other metrics or variables
- (K) Our organisation did not use or disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

- ☑ (A) Scope 1 emissions
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric disclosed
 - (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
 - (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://reporte-sostenibilidad.sura.com.uy/4-cuidamos-nuestros-recursos/#ecoe

- ☑ (B) Scope 2 emissions
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric disclosed
 - (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
 - (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://reporte-sostenibilidad.sura.com.uy/4-cuidamos-nuestros-recursos/#ecoe

- ☑ (C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric disclosed
 - (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
 - (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://reporte-sostenibilidad.sura.com.uy/4-cuidamos-nuestros-recursos/#ecoe

o (D) Our organisation did not disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- o (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.1	CORE	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

☑ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
☐ (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
(C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
(D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for
nstitutional Investors
(E) The EU Taxonomy
(F) Other relevant taxonomies
(G) The International Bill of Human Rights
(H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core
conventions
☐ (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
(J) Other international framework(s)
(K) Other regional framework(s)
(L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.2	CORE	PGS 47	PGS 48	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

o (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

$\overline{}$			and the second s			
17	(A) Identity	elietainahility	outcomes that	are closely linked t	to our core invec	tmant activities
~	(A) IUCIILIIV	Sustaniavinty	UULCUIIICS HIAL	are ciuseiv illineu i	LU UUI GUIG IIIVGS	uncni activitics

☐ (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities

outcomes connected to its investment activities

- ☑ (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character
- ☐ (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
- ☑ (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- ☑ (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- \square (G) Other method
- o (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48	CORE	PGS 47.2	PGS 48.1, SO 1	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- o (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)

OVERALL APPROACH

EXTERNAL INVESTMENT MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 1	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	External investment managers	4

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important in the assessment of external investment managers?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
Organisation			
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment	Ø	Ø	Ø
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies)	Ø	Ø	Ø



(C) Governance structure and senior-level oversight and accountability	Ø	\square	
People and Culture			
(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives			
(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment	Ø		
Investment Process			
(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process	Ø		
(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process	Ø	☑	
(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment	Ø	☑	
Stewardship			
(I) Policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	Ø		
(J) Policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting	Ø		Ø
(K) Use of stewardship tools and activities	Ø	Ø	Ø
(L) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in stewardship practices			



(M) Involvement in collaborative engagement and stewardship initiatives	Ø	✓	Ø
(N) Engagement with policy makers and other non-investee stakeholders	☑	☑	
(O) Results of stewardship activities			
Performance and Reporting			
(P) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting	Ø	☑	☑
(Q) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements	Ø	☑	
(R) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important in the assessment of external investment managers	0	0	0

SERVICE PROVIDERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 2	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Service providers	4

Which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important when assessing all service providers that advise you in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers?

\square (A) Incorporation of their responsible investment policy into advisory services	
\square (B) Ability to accommodate our responsible investment policy	

 \square (C) Level of staff's responsible investment expertise

 \square (D) Use of data and analytical tools to assess the external investment manager's responsible investment performance

 \square (E) Other

 \circ (F) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important when assessing service providers that advise us in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers

⊚ (G) Not applicable; we do not engage service providers in the selection, appointment or monitoring of external investment managers



SELECTION

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT PRACTICES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 4	CORE	OO 12, OO 21	SAM 5, SAM 6, SAM 7	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers?

- (A) Yes, we selected external investment managers or allocated new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
- o (B) No, we did not select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
- o (C) Not applicable; our organisation is in a captive relationship with external investment managers, which applies to 90% or more of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 5	CORE	SAM 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	4

During the reporting year, what responsible investment aspects did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, review and evaluate when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers?

Organisation

 \square (A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment (e.g. commitment to responsible investment principles and standards)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

☑ (B) Responsible investment policy(ies) (e.g. the alignment of their responsible investment policy with the investment mandate)

Select from dropdown list

- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

☑ (C) Governance structure and senior-level oversight and accountability (e.g. the adequacy of their governance structure and reported conflicts of interest)



Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

People and Culture

☑ (D) Adequate resourcing and incentives (e.g. their team structures, operating model and remuneration structure, including alignment of interests)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

☑ (E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment (e.g. level of responsible investment responsibilities in their investment team, their responsible investment training and capacity building)

Select from dropdown list

- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

Investment Process

☑ (F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such factors are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates
- \square (G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such risks are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)

☑ (H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment (e.g. their process to measure and report such risks)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

Performance and Reporting

☑ (I) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates

☑ (J) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- o (2) for a majority of our mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our mandates
- \circ (K) We did not review and evaluate any of the above responsible investment aspects when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year



APPOINTMENT

SEGREGATED MANDATES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 8	CORE	OO 5.2, OO 13	N/A	PUBLIC	Segregated mandates	4

Which responsible investment aspects do your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, explicitly include in clauses within your contractual agreements with your external investment managers for segregated mandates?

- \square (A) Their commitment to following our responsible investment strategy in the management of our assets
- $\ensuremath{\square}$ (B) Their commitment to incorporating material ESG factors into their investment activities

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our segregated mandates
- (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- ☑ (C) Their commitment to incorporating material ESG factors into their stewardship activities

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our segregated mandates
- (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- ☐ (D) Their commitment to incorporating risks connected to systematic sustainability issues into their investment activities
- ☐ (E) Their commitment to incorporating risks connected to systematic sustainability issues into their stewardship activities
- ☑ (F) Exclusion list(s) or criteria

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our segregated mandates
- (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- $\ensuremath{\square}$ (G) Responsible investment communications and reporting obligations, including stewardship activities and results

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our segregated mandates
- (2) for a majority of our segregated mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- ☑ (H) Incentives and controls to ensure alignment of interests

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our segregated mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates
- ☐ (I) Commitments on climate-related disclosure in line with internationally-recognised frameworks such as the TCFD
- ☑ (J) Commitment to respect human rights as defined in the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our segregated mandates
- o (3) for a minority of our segregated mandates



□ (K)	Their acknowledgement that their ap	pointment is conditional	on the fulfilment of the	ir agreed responsible	investment
comm	tments				

☐ (L) Other

MONITORING

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT PRACTICES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 9	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	4

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which aspects of your external investment managers' responsible investment practices did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor during the reporting year?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
Organisation			
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment (e.g. commitment to responsible investment principles and standards)	Ø	Ø	☑
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies) (e.g. the continued alignment of their responsible investment policy with the investment mandate)	Ø	Ø	☑
(C) Governance structure and senior level oversight and accountability (e.g. the adequacy of their governance structure and reported conflicts of interest)	Ø	Ø	☑
People and Culture			



o (M) We do not include responsible investment aspects in clauses within our contractual agreements with external investment managers for segregated mandates

(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives (e.g. their team structures, operating model and remuneration structure, including alignment of interests)	Ø		
(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment (e.g. level of responsible investment responsibilities in their investment team, their responsible investment training and capacity building)	 ✓		
Investment Process			
(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such factors are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)	Ø	☑	
(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such risks are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)			
(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment (e.g. their process to measure and report such risks, their response to ESG incidents)	Ø	☑	Ø
Performance and Reporting			



(I) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting (e.g. any changes in their regular client reporting)	Ø		
(J) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements	Ø		☑
(K) We did not monitor any of the above aspects of our external investment managers' responsible investment practices during the reporting year	ο	Ο	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 12	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	1

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, how often does your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor your external investment managers' responsible investment practices?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) At least annually	Ø	Ø	
(B) Less than once a year			
(C) On an ad hoc basis			



ENGAGEMENT AND ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 16	CORE	00 14, 00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Engagement and escalation	4

What actions does your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, include in its formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring of your external investment managers' responsible investment practices?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) Engagement with their investment professionals, investment committee or other representatives	Ø	Ø	Ø
(B) Notification about their placement on a watch list or relationship coming under review	Ø	Ø	Ø
(C) Reduction of capital allocation to the external investment managers until any concerns have been rectified			
(D) Termination of the contract if failings persist over a (notified) period, including an explanation of the reasons for termination		Ø	Ø
(E) Holding off selecting the external investment managers for new mandates or allocating additional capital until any concerns have been rectified	Ø	Ø	Ø
(F) Other			



(G) Our organisation does not have a formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring

0

0

0

VERIFICATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 17	CORE	00 14, 00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Verification	1

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, how did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, verify that the information reported by external investment managers on their responsible investment practices was correct during the reporting year?

(1) Listed equity (active)	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
Ø	Ø	☑
0	0	0



CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- \Box (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- ☐ (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- \square (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- ☑ (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- ☐ (E) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to verify that our funds comply with our responsible investment policy ☐ (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- ☑ (G) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- o (H) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
СВМ 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal review	6

Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

☑ (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent

Sections of PRI report reviewed

- o (1) the entire report
- ☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent

Sections of PRI report reviewed

- o (2) selected sections of the report



 \circ (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year

